

# Senior Diplomats in the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs:1When an Entrance Exam Still Determines the Career2

Christian Lequesne <sup>a)</sup> and Jean Heilbronn <sup>b), *</sup>	3
<sup>a)</sup> Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches Internationales (CERI), Sciences Po, 56 rue Jacob,	4
75006 Paris, France	5
christian.lequesne@sciences-po.fr	6
<sup>b)</sup> Sciences Po, 27 rue Saint-Guillaume, 75337 Paris Cedex 07, France; and London School of Economics and Political Science, Houghton Street, London WC2A 2AE, United Kingdom jeanheilbronn@gmail.com	7 8 9 10
Received: 8 April 2011: revised: 17 October 2011: accepted 9 January 2012	11

#### Summary

13 This article highlights the specificity of the recruitment of senior diplomats (A dvisers) in France since 14 1970. The idiosyncratic character of the French situation resides in the lack of a single examination. The 15 diversity of ways by which a senior diplomat can enter the F rench Ministry of Foreign and European 16 Affairs (FMFEA) leads to the coexistence within the ministry of two main groups — the ENA diplomats 17 (that is, from the National School of Administration, the Ecole Nationale d'Administration) and the so-18 called 'Orient' diplomats — each defending specific interests and roles within the French Quai d'Orsay. 19 The kind of entrance exam that you take still determines careers in the French MFA. The pillarization of 20 the career has nevertheless decreased since the 1990s, because the necessity to cope with common external 21 challenges (such as budgetary cuts) has reinforced a shared identity among French senior diplomats.

#### Keywords

French diplomatic service, recruitment of diplomats, career of diplomats, reforms of diplomatic services 23

#### Introduction

24

22

Since the end of the Cold War, the evolution of diplomatic services has given 25 birth to an important corpus of literature in the domain of social sciences.<sup>1</sup> Many 26 studies focus on the impact of globalization on the different functions that are 27

 <sup>\*</sup> The authors would like to thank the journal 's editors and two anonymous r eferees for their useful
 28 comments.
 29

<sup>1)</sup> S. Riordan, 'Reforming Foreign Services for the Twenty-first Century', The Hague Journal of Diplo-<br/>macy, vol. 2, no. 2, 2007, pp. 161-173; Daryl Copeland, Guerrilla Diplomacy: Rethinking International<br/>Relations (Boulder CO: Lynne Rienner, 2009); and G eoffrey Allen Pigman, Contemporary Diplomacy<br/>(London: Polity, 2010).30

1

2

3

4 5 accomplished by senior diplomats.<sup>2</sup> A major effect of globalization on national diplomacy has been to make civil society just as important as states' governments in shaping external policies and international r elations. In consequence, a rich discussion has arisen on the new forms of public diplomacy as well as on the role of cultural diplomacy on foreign ministries' influence across the world.

6 In France since the 1980s, there has been only a little scientific work on the 7 evolution of the national diplomatic ser vices. This situation can seem strange if we consider that France has had, since the Middle Ages, a long tradition of ana-8 9 lytical writings on diplomacy. In the fifteenth century, Philippe de Commynes, a nobleman from Flanders, wrote his memoirs on the missions to I taly that he 10 undertook for successive kings of F rance.<sup>3</sup> It is also a F renchman, François de 11 Callières, who at the beginning of the eighteenth centur y published one of the 12 first treatises on how to negotiate with the sovereigns of Europe.<sup>4</sup> In the twentieth 13 century, there was also a F rench tradition of writer — diplomats, such as J ules 14 Cambon,<sup>5</sup> who gave relevant views on the art of diplomacy. In the contemporary 15 period, however, the theory of international r elations remains weak as an aca-16 demic discipline and this r elative absence is matched to a br oader decline of 17 research on foreign policy in political science.<sup>6</sup> It would be false, however, to talk 18 about a complete academic black hole. D uring the last decade, sev eral articles 19 have been written on foreign policy's decision processes as well as on the articula-20 tion between national diplomacies and the external action of the E 21 uropean Union,<sup>7</sup> the profession of diplomat,<sup>8</sup> and more generally on the work of diplo-22 mats seen through the angle of the sociology of wor k.9 In this sense, the study 23 24 published by Loriol and others on labour conditions within the French Ministry of Foreign and European Affairs (FMFEA) is a precious source of analysis. While 25 26 it is true that the study was or dered by the FMFEA itself to increase knowledge on its own organization and functioning, the team of scholars was able to con-27 duct investigations independently and released an unbiased document. 28

<sup>2)</sup> J.W. Moses and T. Knutsen, 'Inside out: Globalization and the R eorganization of Foreign Affairs
 Ministries', *Cooperation and Conflict*, vol. 36, no. 4, 2001, pp. 355-380.

<sup>9)</sup> M. Loriol, F. Piotet, V. Porteret and V. Boussard, *Recherche en sociologie du travail sur les métiers diplo- matiques: Rapport d'étape* (Paris: Laboratoire George Friedmann CNRS/Paris I, 2007).

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3)</sup> Philippes de Commynes, '*Mémoires*', *Historiens et Chroniqueurs du Moyen Age* (Paris: Gallimard —
 La Pléaide, 1972).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4)</sup> François de Callières, *The Art of Diplomacy* (Lanham MD: University Press of America, 1994).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5)</sup> Jules Cambon, *Le Diplomate* (Paris: Hachette, 1926).

Matthieu Chillaud, International Relations in France: The "Usual Suspects" in a French Scientific
 Field of Study', *European Political Science*, no. 8, 2009, pp. 239-253.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7)</sup> Frédéric Charillon (ed.), *Politique étrangère: nouveaux regards* (Paris: Presses de Sciences Po, 2002); see
 also the work of the historian Maurice Vaïsse, *La puissance ou l'influence? La France dans le monde depuis 1958* (Paris: Fayard, 2009).

Meredith Kingston de Leusse, Diplomatie: Une sociologie des ambassadeurs (Paris: L'Harmattan, 1997);
 and Samy Cohen (ed.), Les diplomates: Négocier dans un monde chaotique (Paris: Autrement, 2002).

Nonetheless, it is above all pamphlets and journalistic investigations, or even 1 comic books on the Quai d'Orsay,<sup>10</sup> that have been prolific since the early years of 2 the 2000s.<sup>11</sup> Through these works, it is often a caricatural picture of an arrogant 3 diplomacy that is painted, completely subjected to the French President's orders, 4 and spending more than it can afford while living in a closed-in community. For 5 this reason, a thorough sociological analysis of the Quai d'Orsay, of its personnel, 6 7 of its practices and of its r epresentations in the tw enty-first century is still to 8 be written.

This article only deals with one aspect of the sociology of the FMFEA. The 9 study focuses on the car eers of senior F rench diplomats working for the Quai 10 d'Orsay during the period 1970-2009. Two groups of diplomats, competing for 11 the top jobs at the highest ranks in the FMFEA, will particularly hold our atten-12 tion. The first group is made up of senior civil ser vants coming from the presti-13 gious National School of Administration (Ecole Nationale d'Administration (ENA) 14 in French). People from this group enter the Quai d'Orsay after two years of study 15 at the ENA with the rank of F oreign Affairs' General Adviser. In the second 16 group, diplomats have been directly recruited to the FMFEA through a specific 17 and highly competitive examination to become Foreign Affairs Orient's Adviser. 18 The name of Orient's Adviser comes from their complete mastery of at least one 19 specific language (such as M andarin, Hindi, Arabic, Farsi, but also G erman or 20 Dutch). This duality inside the FMFEA allows a characteristic to be highlighted 21 that is shared by a larger part of the French public administration — F rance's 22 bureaucratic corps, as described by several analysts during the 1980s,<sup>12</sup> is a place 23 where the domination of one's status does not guarantee exactly the same car eer 24 track to people who are supposed to do the same work globally. 25

The study is based first on data collected in the Annuaire Diplomatique et Con-26 sulaire, completed with inter views conducted among F rench diplomats during 27 spring 2011. The Annuaire Diplomatique et Consulaire is a document that has no 28 equivalent in any other French ministry. Published every year by the Quai d'Orsay, 29 this 2,000-page book includes detailed organizational charts of every department 30 within the FMFEA and of ev ery diplomatic or consular mission abr oad. This 31 book also provides a detailed biographic note for every staff member at the 32 FMFEA, ranked in alphabetical or der and ranging from staff recruited directly 33 after a high school degr ee to those who enter ed the Quai d'Orsay after five or 34 more years of higher education. Through the nominal lists of agents by rank, it is 35 possible to follow the evolution of the career of every one of them, and even, by 36 applying a salary scale that is shar ed by all French administrations, to calculate 37

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10)</sup> The designation of the *Quai d'Orsay* refers to its geographical location in Paris.
 <sup>11)</sup> Franck Renaud, *Les diplomates: Derrière les façades des ambassades de France* (Paris: Nouveaux Mondes,
 <sup>12)</sup> Marie-Christine Kessler, *Les grands corps de l'Etat* (Paris: *Presses de Sciences Po*, 1986).
 <sup>13)</sup> Marie-Christine Kessler, *Les grands corps de l'Etat* (Paris: *Presses de Sciences Po*, 1986).

their salaries when they ar e employed at the central administration — which means when they do not get expatriation allo wances. The sufficiency and transparency of the *Annuaire Diplomatique et Consulair e* contradicts the cultur e of secrecy that is usually attributed to the FMFEA. For scholars, it provides a reliable source of information.

6 The study focuses on the careers of senior French diplomats who were recruited 7 between 1970 and 2004 and who hav e reached at least the position of A dviser 8 (Conseiller in French), and who have been appointed to this rank dir ectly. This 9 choice should not obscur e the fact that one-thir d of for eign affairs' advisers obtained this position through internal promotion, which means that they started 10 their career at a lower level and entered the FMFEA through the Foreign Affairs 11 Secretary examination, for example. However, this article is not to be regarded as 12 a quantitative study developed through an extensive use of statistical methods. 13

Rather, it is a qualitative study, which uses specific examples of several individuals' 14 careers to draw general lessons. The choice to focus on eleven years, spread over a 15 34-year period, stems from this limited ambition. The choice of the years 1970, 16 1971, 1972, 1977, 1978, 1979, 1984, 1989, 1994, 1999 and 2004 allo ws us to 17 compile a large sample that is representative of the different age groups working 18 in the Quai d'Orsay and of the wide range of hierarchical positions within it. The 19 senior executives recruited in 1970 are, in 2009, often already retired or approach-20 ing the end of their careers. On the opposite end of the scale, A dvisers recruited 21 22 in 2004 are still in the first years of their career. Through these eleven years, the study examines the cases of 107 F rench senior diplomats from the FMFEA, 57 23 from the ENA and 50 from the Orient's Adviser examination. The article assumes 24 that this study is enough to draw some generalizations on F rench senior diplo-25 26 mats' careers.

Although the article tries to identify what could be seen as the ideal career path of French senior diplomats, we are conscious that a term such as 'classical career' should be used only with r estraint. One career can never be exactly identical to another. Being a senior diplomat involves having a diverse, original and unique livelihood. Individuals create their own careers according to the studies that they have undertaken, the regions that they like, and a multiplicity of other factors,

such as reputation, political relations, social network, marital situation and pri vate tastes.

The article starts by assessing the career of senior diplomats who were recruited from the ENA as General Affairs' Advisers. The same exercise is then undertaken for the senior diplomats who were recruited as Orient's Advisers. Third, the article explains the reasons for the decreasing pillarization of roles and attitudes between the ENA and the O rient's Advisers inside the FMFEA. F inally, the ar ticle concludes by stressing which research agendas on F rench diplomacy could be followed.

42

12

3

# Access through the National School of Administration (ENA): Still the **Roval Wav**

Generations of French senior civil servants have been educated at the ENA since 3 its creation in 1945.<sup>13</sup> The fact that many graduates from the ENA joined the 4 ranks of the main French political parties of the Fifth Republic and served further 5 in various governments (both left and right wing) has increased the prestige of the 6 school. It is an original characteristic of the lifth Republic to have recruited many 7 of its main political leaders among its government officials. This led the political 8 scientist Jean-Louis Quermonne to talk about a 'heavy presence of bureaucrats in 9 the political spher e' (in French, 'une fonctionnarisation de la politique ') since 10 1958.14 11

Nonetheless, this tendency to recruit politicians among high-ranking civil ser-12 vants has faded since the 1990s. Coupled with the diminishing influence of the 13 state in a world of fierce capitalism and open mar kets, this trend was certainly 14 damaging for the ENA's standing. However, being accepted to the ENA remains 15 a very difficult challenge. The school's selectivity is one of the highest in F rance 16 and the number of posts has been decreasing since the end of the 1990s as a con-17 sequence of budgetary restrictions within the public ser vice. In 2010, only 80 18 people were chosen to follow the two-year programme in Strasbourg, punctuated 19 by regular in-the-field internships. 20

One can enter the ENA thr ough three different examinations, all similarly 21 selective and competitive. The 'outside' examination, through which 40 people 22 were selected in 2010, is open to univ ersity graduates who have undertaken at 23 least three years of study (BA degree). In reality, this group is often constituted of 24 graduates from Sciences Po in Paris who have already done at least five years of 25 study, often six and sometimes ev en more. The 'inside' examination, by which 26 32 people were accepted in 2010, is designed for civil servants of the state, of ter-27 ritorial authorities, of public establishments, and of international go vernmental 28 organizations who have had four complete years of service. This is thus an exam 29 that is aimed generally at older candidates who want to secur e better promotion 30 in their career. The third and final examination, through which came the last 31 eight selected candidates of 2010, is more original. It is aimed at agents from the 32 private sector, elected officials (municipal advisers or mayors, for example) and 33 leaders of non-governmental bodies (such as unions) who want to r eorient their 34 career to work in the public service, after having completed at least eight years in 35 those diverse sectors. 36

1 2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13)</sup> Jean-François Kesler, Le pire des systèmes... à l'exception de tous les autres: de l'énarchie, de la noblesse d'Etat et de la reproduction sociale (Paris: Albin Michel, 1995). 38 39

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14)</sup> Jean-Louis Quermonne, L'appareil administratif de l'Etat (Paris: Le Seuil, 1991).

The main aspect of the education provided at the ENA is found in its multi-1 disciplinary nature. The school aims to educate generalists who should have cer-2 tain mobility in the public service, and not single-subject specialists. h accordance 3 with this logic, the position that the ENA graduates will gain in a French minis-4 5 try, when they finish their two years of study, depends primarily on their ranking compared to their fellow students. The career choices made by the ENA students 6 7 are then determined by the marks that they received while in the school (fr om 8 exams and training). The top graduates on the exit-ranking list will traditionally choose to serve in one of the Grand corps de l'Etat, which are also the most inter-9 esting, in terms of prestige and salary, such as the State's Council (*Conseil d'Etat*), 10 11 the Court of Auditors (Cour des comptes) or the Treasury's General Inspectorate (Inspection générale des Finances). The second-ranking students on the list will 12 usually choose to work in the FMFEA, where they are recruited directly as For-13 eign Affairs' General Advisers. There is no specific training scheme for the future 14 diplomats inside the ENA. Once recruited by the Quai d'Orsay, they will follow 15 an obligatory four months of training, just like all senior staff, at the Diplomatic 16 and Consular Institute of the FMFEA. This is a recent development, as this school 17 was created by, and for, the FMFEA in April 2010. Finally, the remaining gradu-18 ates from the ENA (that is, a majority of them) will hold positions in different 19 20 ministries — with a preference for the Ministry of Economics and Finance — or 21 in administrative tribunals.

22 In 2010, three ENA graduates joined the FMFEA as Foreign Affairs' General Advisers. There were four to do so in 2009, as well as four in 2008, and seven in 23 24 2007 and 2006. The choice of the FMFEA within the ENA depends, of course, on the interest that the graduating students show in an international career, but 25 26 also, as observed above, on their placement in the ultimate ranking. I n consequence, some of the ENA graduates choose to follow a career in the Quai d'Orsay 27 simply because their personal ranking did not grant them access to the 28 Grands corps de l'Etat, which are highly selective in terms of the positions av ailable. In 29 2010, only four positions were offered at the State's Council, four others at the 30 Court of Auditors, and four as well at the Treasury's General Inspectorate. Never-31 theless, over-generalization should be avoided. It also happens that some of the 32 ENA students choose the Quai d'Orsay rather than a Grand corps simply because 33 they want to work in the field of international relations. 34

It has already been noted that a vast majority of the FMFEA's diplomats gradu-35 ating from the ENA studied previously at Sciences Po in Paris, another prestigious 36 school of social sciences, which was created in 1873 outside of the French univer-37 38 sity system and recruits its students through selective exams. Other ENA diplomats generally have a bachelor's or a master's degree in law and a mor e diverse 39 career in the French administration if they entered the ENA through the 'inside' 40 41 examination. They can also have done the Ecole Normale Supérieure (ENS), another grande école, which normally pr epares students for the car eer of high 42

school teacher and then university lecturer. If ENS candidates are studying at the 1 ENA, it is to escape the job of teacher, because the salary and social prestige of 2 such jobs have diminished greatly within French society. 3

The article's sample allows five trends about the career of the ENA graduates 4 5 inside the Quai d'Orsay to be stressed. First, the ENA graduates are more inclined 6 to follow a multilateral path than the O rient's Advisers, with multilateral her e meaning a diplomatic career that is mostly devoted to intergovernmental organi-7 zations (IOs). In the study's sample, 79 per cent of the diplomats graduating fom 8 the ENA held a position at the French Permanent Representation to the United 9 Nations (in New York or in Geneva), or at the French Permanent Representations 10 to the European Union (EU), to the North Atlantic Council, to the Organization 11 for Security and Cooperation in E urope (OSCE) and to the O rganization for 12 Economic Cooperation and D evelopment (OECD). They often obtain such 13 positions relatively early in their career, after six years as Secretaries and after ten 14 years as Advisers. Eventually, after twenty years of service, they frequently become 15 appointed as Ambassadors in these posts. For example, all of the successive French 16 Ambassadors to the P ermanent Representation to the E uropean Union since 17 1977 graduated from the ENA. This trend can also be observed in the appoint-18 ments at the central administration, wher e the ENA graduates ar e more often 19 placed in multilateral departments than in geographical ones. F or instance, the 20 Director for European Cooperation was appointed in 1997, tw enty years after 21 graduating from the ENA; he was in 2011 the Secretary-General of the FMFEA. 22 The Director for Scientific and Technical Cooperation was appointed in 1993, 23 sixteen years after leaving the ENA; in 2011 he was one of the Dplomatic Advis-24 ers of the F rench government. The Assistant Director for D isarmament was 25 appointed in 2006, ten y ears after graduating fr om the ENA; in 2011 he was 26 heading the French Permanent Representation to NATO. Inside the multilateral 27 path, diplomats from the ENA develop their career around a specific expertise. 28 EU affairs and disarmament/security affairs constitute two areas of specialization 29 in which people could make their whole career. 30

Second, the ENA graduates, when they occupy and effectively trust bilateral 31 positions, are posted to 'big' developed countries (such as the United States, EU 32 capitals, Russia, Canada, Australia and Japan), or in the major emerging countries 33 (such as Brazil, China, India, Mexico, South Africa or Egypt). 58 per cent have 34 occupied a post at the French Embassy in London or in Washington at least once 35 during their first fifteen years of service. Consequently, they often become Ambas-36 sador in the aforementioned countries after 20 to 30 years of career. For instance, 37 the French Ambassador to Canada in 2004 got his position 34 years after gradu-38 ating from the ENA. I n 2008, the F rench Ambassador to Tokyo got his job 39 32 years after graduating from the ENA. The French Ambassador to Beijing in 40 1996, preceded by Moscow in 1992, got his positions 25 and 21 y ears, respec-41 tively, after graduating from the ENA. 42

Third, the ENA graduates are more inclined to take on jobs as political advisers 1 2 compared to other diplomats, not only at the ser vice of the French Minister of Foreign Affairs or European Affairs, but also as Adviser to the President of the 3 4 Republic or to the Prime Minister. According to Marc Loriol's sociological study 5 of the FMFEA, diplomats who graduated fr om both the ENA and Sciences Po 6 occupied on average 1.5 positions in a political function, wher eas this number 7 was only 1.1 on average for those who only did the ENA, and 0.7 for the Qient's 8 Advisers.<sup>15</sup> After having held positions in a ' cabinet' (which means a minister's 9 private office in France), they continue their diplomatic career, which is accelerated by this spell, thanks to the new contacts that they have established within the 10 11 political sphere. As an example, diplomats who graduated from the ENA in 1992 constitute an enlightening case of the adv antage of making a detour to wards a 12 13 political function. Among the six, three have attained the highest position, called Out of Rank Adviser' (Conseiller des affaires étrangères hors classe). The interesting 14 point is that these three people have all held political functions inside and outside 15 the FMFEA: one was D iplomatic Adviser to the F rench Prime Minister from 16 1997 to 2002; the second was A dviser to the D eputy Minister on European 17 Affairs in the late 1990s; and the thid was Adviser to the French Foreign Minister 18 19 in 2007. Since 2009, they have been appointed, respectively, as French Ambas-20 sador to the UN and to the IO s in Vienna, Head of the service in charge of the EU Common and Foreign Security Policy, and French Ambassador in Tel Aviv. 21 Ouite significantly, their three colleagues who simply followed the regular diplo-22 matic career are 'only' Second Adviser in New Delhi, Second Adviser to the UN, 23 24 and Deputy Director for Scientific and Academic Cooperation. It is among the group of ENA graduates that we also find diplomats who have moved to a politi-25 26 cal career as members of the government or members of the French Parliament. 27 In this sample, two have become members of the French National Assembly or of the European Parliament: Dominique Souchet (ENA, 1972); and Sylvie Goulard 28 29 (ENA, 1989).

30 Fourth, the ENA graduates despise consular activities. Having studied politics and public affairs, they are more interested in political chancellery and consider 31 the work of consular agents to be less r ewarding. When they do take a consular 32 33 post, it is to access immediately the rank of G eneral Consul in a prestigious city 34 such as Quebec, Sao Paulo, New York, San Francisco, Rio de Janeiro, Hong Kong or Miami. This consular experience occurs relatively early in their car eer, after 35 twelve years of service, and extremely rarely after more than twenty years spent in 36 the FMFEA. 37

Finally, the ENA graduates are quicker to reach the rank of Minister Plenipotentiary, which is the most impor tant step in terms of salar y, but also to get a

<sup>40 &</sup>lt;sup>15)</sup> Marc Loriol, 'La carrière des diplomates fr ançais: entre parcours individuel et str ucturation collective',

<sup>41</sup> Sociologies, 2009, available online at http://sociologies.revues.org/index2936.html.

position as Ambassador, even if it is not a compulsory prerequisite. According to our sample, the ENA graduates reached this rank after an average of 19.5 years of career (with a minimum of 17 years and a maximum of 22 years), while Orient's Advisers needed an av erage of 22.5 y ears (with a minimum of 18 y ears and a maximum of 29 years).<sup>16</sup>

There is no doubt that '*Enarques*' (as ENA graduates are known) tended in the 6 period 1970-2009 to access higher positions in mor e prestigious embassies and 7 that they did so quicker and mor e easily than others. For the last 40 y ears, the 8 ENA has been the royal way to have a successful career as a diplomat in France. 9

## The Orient's Advisers: First Row, Second Rate

The mere name of the 'Orient entrance examination' is a legacy of France's colo-11 nial experience, a period when national diplomacy recruited senior executives to 12 serve in non-Western countries that were often considered as part of a far and 13 mysterious East. The term 'Orient' was used in a similar way in the research field 14 of oriental civilization. To talk about 'orientalism' was not then such a polemic 15 subject as it is today. The National Institute of Oriental Languages and Civiliza-16 tions (Institut National des Langues et C ivilisations Orientales, INALCO) is a 17 reminder of this French orientalism, just as the famous London-based School of 18 African and Oriental Studies (SOAS) reminds us of the United Kingdom's colo-19 nial past.<sup>17</sup> The name 'Orient examination' was thus retained at the FMFEA; it 20 allows for the direct recruitment of a small number of ex ecutives who study in 21 depth, and effectively master, at least one oriental language. I n 2011 there were 22 three distinct groups of languages that ar e recognized at the entrance examina-23 tion. The first group is dedicated to Central and Eastern Europe. Oddly, German 24 and Dutch were recognized at the end of the 1990s as oriental languages, thus 25 putting the adjective into perspective, although in March 2011 there were discus-26 sions inside the FMFEA — under pr essure from non-Europeanists inside the 27 Orient's corps — to delete this policy and to giv e more credit to rare languages 28 such as Hindi, Chinese or Swahili.<sup>18</sup> The second group includes Southern Asia 29 and the Far East; and the thir d group focuses on Eastern M editerranean lan-30 guages, the Maghreb and Africa. 31

Until 1999, the recruitment of the Orient's senior staff was carried out through 32 a 'Foreign Affairs' Orient Secretary Examination'. The situation changed in 1999 33 when a 'Foreign Affairs' Orient Adviser Examination' was created as a direct rival 34

36 37 38

35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16)</sup> In the particular status of the agents of the FMFEA, nomination to the grade of 2nd-rank Renipotentiary Minister requires at least sixteen years of service in the 'Out of Rank' Advisers' grade.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17)</sup> Pierre Labrousse, *Deux siècles d'histoire de l'Ecole des langues orientales, 1795-1995* (Paris: Hervas, 1995).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18)</sup> Interview at the FMFEA, 16 March 2011.

<sup>39</sup> 

to the post-ENA recruitment method. This very selective examination — only six 1 to eight people are accepted out of hundreds every year — is also divided, as is the 2 ENA's exam, between an external examination that is reserved for young univer-3 sity graduates, and an internal examination through which current employees of 4 5 any French administration (in practice, the FMFEA) can apply . In addition to 6 perfect mastery of English and of an oriental language, the test includes a general 7 knowledge examination, a law or economics assessment, and questions on inter-8 national and European issues. In this way, it is not so different from other examinations that allow university graduates access to the F rench public sector. Yet 9 what can be obser ved from the careers of diplomats who acceded to the Quai 10 d'Orsay through the Orient's Adviser examination (or O rient's Secretary before 11 1999)? 12

The Orient's Advisers have a bachelor's or a master's degree in law, history or a diploma from *Sciences Po.* In our sample, a majority of the agents (29 out of 50) came from *Sciences Po.* Half of them (24 out of 50) also graduated in parallel form INALCO. It is interesting to stress that study at INALCO was more the case for the older generations — those who studied during the 1960s, 1970s and

- 1980s than for the ne wer generation who could hav e studied languages in 18 other universities. Orient's Advisers can thus be considered as the most vocational 19 diplomats. For several years they studied a specific language and culture, they 20 often lived in foreign countries, and joined the ministry to go and work in coun-21 tries that they like. With regard to the social background of French diplomats, it 22 is not at all proven that ENA graduates have higher social origins than O rient's 23 24 Advisers — contrar y to what is argued in the Quai d'Orsay's Department of Human Resources.<sup>19</sup> For example, 12 per cent of former ENA students possess a 25 26 name belonging to the French aristocracy, but 10 per cent of Orient's Advisers in our sample do too. The difference is thus small. The careers of the Orient's Advis-27 ers still start, however, at a slightly inferior rank compar ed to their ENA col-28 leagues. They are first appointed abroad as a Third or Second Secretary, contrary 29 30 to the 'Enargues' who usually become directly First Secretaries, because the two years spent at the ENA count for seniority . Moreover, their escalation up the 31 diplomatic ladder is somehow less rapid. On average, they become Assistant Sec-32 retary (Sous Directeur) after fifteen years in the ministry, Deputy Director after 33 twenty years, and eventually Director when they are about to celebrate their thir-34 tieth year of diplomatic service. For example, one Orient's Adviser was appointed 35 as Assistant Director to the Staff Department fourteen years after his entrance in 36 the FMFEA, and Director for Asia and O ceania in 2000, 30 y ears after having 37 passed the examination. In 2009, the Director for Development Aid previously 38 39 held the post of Assistant Director to the Middle East and Deputy Director for North Africa and the M iddle East in P aris, respectively fourteen and 23 y ears 40
- 41 <sup>19)</sup> Interview at the FMFEA, 23 March 2011.

after having been recruited. The position of Ambassador is rarely obtained before 1 having spent twenty years working for the FMFEA. 2

Senior Orient's Advisers are appointed more to small and middle-sized coun-3 tries and then gain more responsibilities as their careers are about to end. There 4 5 are some exceptions to this general tr end. Jean-David Levitte, for instance, was recruited through the Orient examination and not the ENA. He was appointed 6 Director for Asia and O ceania after twenty years, and then Ambassador to the 7 8 UN Security Council, to the United States, before joining the Presidency of the 9 Republic as Diplomatic Adviser to the President. Maurice Gourdault-Montagne is another exception of an Orient Adviser who had a fast-track car eer inside the 10 ministry. Recruited through the Orient examination in 1978, he became French 11 Ambassador to Tokyo in 1998, then to London in 2002, and finally to Berlin 12 in 2011. 13

Another general trend is that only 20 per cent of O rient's Advisers follow a 14 multilateral path inside the FMFEA and work in the French permanent representations to the major international organizations. Again, a limited number of 16 exceptions exist. Ambassador Jean-David Levitte spent a long part of his career 17 appointed to the F rench representations to the UN, both in N ew York and 18 Geneva.

Orient's Advisers are usually mobile diplomats. They spend more years posted 20 to foreign embassies and consulates than their colleagues graduating fr om the 21 ENA. Their mobility can be explained by the fact that serving abroad is a voca-22 tion for the Orient's Advisers. When they are at the central administration, Ori-23 ent's Advisers tend to take jobs in the geographical dir ectorates of the ministry. 24 Nonetheless, the FMFEA is a small department compared to other French min-25 istries and it is not always easy to find a job in connection with the region of 26 specialization. For example, a specialist of Eastern E uropean languages who 27 entered the Quai d'Orsay in 1972 through the Orient's Adviser examination was 28 29 then appointed to such diverse capitals as Stockholm, Ankara, Islamabad, Helsinki, Tokyo, Athens, Skopje, Tirana, Valetta and Ulan Bator. Another Orient's 30 Adviser who was recruited in 1972, and who mastered Japanese and Korean, held 31 positions in Tokyo, Manila, Hanoi, Bombay, Phnom Penh, Kinshasa, Abidjan 32 and Antananarivo. The human resources' department of the FMFEA has recently 33 adopted a proactive policy of only appointing O rient's Advisers to the region(s) 34 where they are specialists. Yet experience has shown, especially in the case of sub-35 Saharan Africa, that remaining in the same region throughout the entirety of a 36 career could be damaging for the renewal of French foreign policy. Many diplo-37 mats, in developing close and friendly relations with the leaders in power (which 38 were rarely democratic), often became obstacles to any push for change or to any 39 call for better governance and greater protection of human rights in the region. 40

Orient's Advisers are less prone (and eager) to obtain political appointments in 41 ministerial 'cabinets' in Paris. Indeed, they enter the *Quai d'Orsay* to engage in 42

diplomacy and usually do not hav e the same broad political ambition that the 1 2 ENA graduates possess. Interestingly, however, Orient's Advisers who get a political position as D iplomatic Adviser to the P resident of the R epublic or to the 3 4 Prime Minister experience a favourable push in their career. Just to take again the 5 examples of Jean-Daniel Levitte and Maurice Gourdault-Montagne: the first was 6 Diplomatic Adviser to the Presidency of the Republic from 1993-1995 and then 7 again since 2007; the second was D irector of the Prime Minister's private office 8 from 1995-1997 and then had six years as President Chirac's Diplomatic Adviser 9 (from 1997-1998 and fr om 2002-2007). Getting a position on the bor der of politics and administration remains a strong personal advantage for the few Ori-10 11 ent's Advisers who gain access to such posts.

Finally, a post of Consul-General is not such an uncommon phenomenon for 12 13 an Orient's Adviser as for an ENA graduate. O ut of the 21 diplomats in our sample who entered the FMFEA during the years 1970, 1971, 1972, 1977, 1978 14 and 1979, eight had held such a post by 2009. They sometimes take a post of 15 Assistant Consul-General or Deputy Consul-General relatively early in their 16 career. A post of Consul-General can then be obtained at diverse moment of their 17 careers; the appointment depends more on the importance of the city than on the 18 rank of the diplomat. In consequence, if an Orient's Adviser was able to be nom-19 20 inated as the Consul-G eneral in Cracow after only ten y ears in the FMFEA, another one had to wait eighteen y ears to hold a similar position in J ohannes-21 22 burg, and a last one 28 years to become the French Consul-General in Washing-23 ton (one of the most attractive consular posts). At the end, consular activities are 24 no more popular for the Orient's Advisers than for the ENA graduates. Chancel-25 lery work still remains their priority.

To sum up, Orient's Advisers' careers contrasted with the careers of their colleagues from the ENA in the period 1970-2009. D iplomats by vocation, they tended to hold mor e posts outside the central administration in P aris, they favoured bilateral relations and worked in geographical departments. Moreover, they seemed somehow to be slower to climb the diplomatic career ladder. If Orient's Advisers are at the first row of French diplomacy, they still may be regarded as second-rate diplomats when compared to the '*Enarques*'.

## 33 Towards a Decreasing Pillarization

Even though political scientists hav e focused more on France's public policies rather than on its high public service since the 1990s, the French administration generally remains described as str uctured around different and relatively compartmentalized corps.<sup>20</sup> This 'pillarization', to use a term derived from the study

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20)</sup> Jean-Patrice Lacam, La France: une république de mandarins? Les hauts fonctionnair es et la politique
 (Bruxelles: Complexe, 2000).

of pluralistic political systems,<sup>21</sup> leads to permanent negotiations among the corps 1 in order to secure control of the high-ranking positions within the state's bureau-2 cracy. This phenomenon also explains the atomization of the public policy-making 3 processes, as policy networks are frequently built between specific bureaucratic 4 corps and specific private interests. Finally, pillarization has sometimes been 5 destabilized, or on the contrary strengthened, by the new transnational networks 6 7 of actors that can emerge from EU negotiations.

This study shows that access to the position of F oreign Affairs Adviser at the 8 FMFEA through several different examinations and not through a unique exam-9 ination — as is the case in Germany, the United Kingdom or Italy — led between 10 1970 and 2009 to a cer tain differentiated defence of inter ests by diplomats 11 depending on their affiliation with a certain corps, which was regarded as a pillar. 12 Pillarization has an institutional translation in union r epresentation. At the 13 FMFEA there is both a Union of the Diplomatic and Consular Agents coming 14 from the ENA (in French, Association syndicale des agents diplomatiques et consul-15 aires issus de l'ENA - ADIENA) and a Union of the Diplomatic and Consular 16 Orient Agents (in French, Association syndicale des agents diplomatiques et consul-17 aires d'Orient — ASAO). To these two organizations, several others can be added 18 that defend particular administrative statuses within the FMFEA and also the 19 representation of the main F rench national unions, such as the Confédération 20 Française Démocratique du Travail (CFDT). In consequence, each association 21 makes sure that the interests of its corps are respected within the FMFEA during 22 the meetings of the so-called Joint Administrative Commissions. Such Commis-23 sions are composed of an equal number of r epresentatives from the administra-24 tion and from the unions. The representatives will ultimately be those who 25 propose the promotion list to the Minister of Foreign and European Affairs. Pro-26 motion to the rank of 'Out of Class Adviser' is a sensitive issue, as it is not auto-27 matically given to any senior diplomat and remains a strong sign of professional 28 success. Representatives from ADIENA and from ASAO will ensure that certain 29 quotas of 'their' people are present in such lists. Through this mechanism, they 30 also prove to be faithful to a classic characteristic of pillarization — namely , a 31 balancing act between groups. 32

The idea of an ENA pillar, which is always and systematically opposed to an 33 Orient pillar, must however be put into perspective. Among the personnel of the 34 Quai d'Orsay, a strong sense of identity exists that goes along with a clear ejection 35 of everything that could be interpr eted as an external intr usion in its internal 36 affairs. As a result, both corps find themselves united against the procedure per-37 mitted by the personnel's status that allo ws senior public ser vants from other 38 ministries to be nominated as P lenipotentiary Ministers. Similarly, diplomats 39

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21)</sup> Arend Lijphart, Democracies in Plural Societies: A Compar ative Exploration (New Haven CT: Yale 40 University Press, 1977).

from the ENA or fr om the group of Orient's Advisers strongly dislike nomina-1 tions of non-government officials to the rank of Plenipotentiary Minister, as a 2 reward for serving the Ministry in a specific way. One recent similar nomination 3 occurred in December 2008, when the Minister of Foreign and European Affairs, 4 Bernard Kouchner, promoted his special adviser and spokesman to such a grade. 5 6 A medical doctor who was pr eviously engaged in humanitarian action thus 7 became the Plenipotentiary Minister; he was appointed Ambassador to S yria in 8 September 2009 and is now able to pursue a career in the diplomatic service until 9 he retires.

10 As we have seen above, the two ways of recruiting high-ranking diplomats (the ENA and Orient) between 1970 and 2009 served to occupy functions that were 11 not exactly similar within the FMFEA. H owever, such differences became more 12 and more blurred, mostly because of a series of new constraints. The first of these 13 new constraints was the general diminution of FMFEA 's budgetary resources 14 since 2004. The ministry has indeed been str ongly affected by the regulations 15 imposed by the Ministry of Budget to every public administration since that date. 16 Still, the Quai d'Orsay had already started to reduce its expenditures before 2004 17 and, in consequence, has seen its resources diminish 25 per cent in the last twenty 18 years.<sup>22</sup> In addition, the FMFEA's budget that is devoted to cultural cooperation 19 is being taken away (by way of experiment for the moment) by an external oper-20 ator, the French Institute (in French, Institut Français). This operator's mandate is 21 to bring all of the French Institutes and French Alliances (Alliances Françaises) in 22 foreign countries together, along the lines of the British Council or Goethe Institut 23 24 models. Still, France retains the most important diplomatic network in the world, with 162 diplomatic posts and 235 consular posts in 2010. N evertheless, more 25 26 and more embassies located in small countries are composed merely of an Ambassador and an Adviser. This diminution of resources also translated into a r educ-27 tion in expatriation allowances for the staff, which represent in France the main 28 component of the salar y of a diplomat who is appointed to a for eign country. 29 30 Agents of the FMFEA, who insist that their administration only epresents 1.2 or 1.3 per cent of the French state's yearly expenditures, experience this reduction as 31 a drop in status. Incidentally, the decrease in expatriation allowances gave way in 32 December 2003 to one of the first strikes of French diplomatic agents in embas-33 34 sies and consulates abroad.

Another source of rapprochement between diplomats from the ENA and from the group of Orient's Advisers lies in the common feeling of a gap betw een the administration of the *Quai d'Orsay* and the Ministers of Foreign Affairs who followed one another between 2002 and 2011. Since Hubert Védrine left the position in 2002, his successors have had difficulties in being well accepted by their own administration. Even though he had a successful career as a diplomat behind

<sup>22)</sup> Alain Juppé and Hubert Védrine, 'Cessez d'affaiblir le Quai d'Orsay', Le Monde, 6 July 2010.

him, Dominique de Villepin, Minister of Foreign Affairs from 2002 to 2004, 1 experienced such difficulties, certainly because of a series of unpopular r eforms 2 (such as the decrease of expatriation allowances) that he passed. Another explana-3 4 tion resides in the limited political weight of de Villepin's followers with the Pres-5 idency of the Republic and its diplomatic advisers. In the French Fifth Republic 6 system, the President of the Republic is the ultimate authority to decide for eign and defence policies, and the political weight of the Foreign Minister is important 7 in order to secure some autonomy for the diplomatic administration, which is out 8 of the *Elysée*'s reach.<sup>23</sup> Yet for six years, none of the French Ministers of Foreign 9 Affairs succeeded in distancing themselves from the President and acquiring real 10 independence: Michel Barnier between 2004 and 2005, Philippe Douste-Blazy 11 between 2005 and 2007, B ernard Kouchner from 2007 to 2010, and M ichèle 12 Alliot-Marie, who was briefly nominated to this post between 2010 and 2011, 13 were all eclipsed by the strength of the Presidential function.<sup>24</sup> In this sense, the 14 return to the Quai d'Orsay of Alain Juppé since February 2011 has been seen as a 15 relief by most diplomats, as Alain Juppé had successfully gained the trust of the 16 diplomatic administration during his first mandate as Minister of Foreign Affairs 17 (between 1993 and 1995) thanks to a skilfully ex ecuted reform of the service. 18 Moreover, his nomination as number two in the go vernment of French Prime 19 Minister François Fillon has been perceived as a protection from the too-systematic 20 intervention of the French Presidency in diplomatic affairs.<sup>25</sup> 21

Finally, rather than opposing each other, several general features of the careers 22 within the FMFEA mo ve the two pillars closer to one another . Among them 23 should be noted the incr easing difficulty for a generation of senior executives 24 from the baby boom generation to obtain a position as Ambassador or D irector 25 at the central administration for lack of v acant positions. In 2011, there were 26 about 35 executives in the FMFEA who did not perform badly throughout their 27 career but still cannot get hold of the position as Ambassador to which they ar e 28 legitimately entitled.<sup>26</sup> In the Quai d'Orsay's language, they ar e designated as 29 'agents on shelves'. 30

A policy for managing human resources, which was intended to individualize 31 the agents' careers, was only introduced in the FMFEA to wards the end of the 32 1990s. Before this reform, the FMFEA restricted itself to mere bureaucratic management of careers. The main rationale behind this phenomenon was that serious 34 work on human r esources was r elegated to the benefit of the 'noble' task of 35

37 38 39

36

40

41

42

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23)</sup> Samy Cohen, La monarchie nucléaire: les coulisses de la politique étrangère de la Vème République (Paris: Hachette, 1986).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24)</sup> The resignation of Michèle Alliot-Marie in February 2011 led to the unprecedented publication by various groups of anonymous diplomats of opinion pieces in the press criticizing the weakness of French ministers of foreign affairs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25)</sup> Christian Lequesne, '*Nicolas Sarkozy et la diplomatie fr ançaise*', *Revue des Deux Mondes*, October-November, 2011, pp. 85-94.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26)</sup> Interview at the FMFEA, 23 March 2011.

making foreign policy. The late discovery of managerial functions was also essential for budgetary matters, under pressure from the General Revision of Public Policies (in French, *RGPP*), which was initiated by François Fillon's conservative government in 2008 with the goal of r educing the general deficit of the public budget. Indeed, this deficit still represented 149 billion euros in 2009, the equivalent of 8.2 per cent of France's gross domestic product (GDP).

Even though the Foreign Affairs Advisers coming from the ENA still have, in
2011, a certain advantage in terms of car eer compared to the Orient's Advisers,
they are the ones who feel the mor e threatened by the evolution within the
FMFEA. As a result, they are also the ones who express the strongest corporatist
claims inside the FMFEA.

A certain feeling of threat among the ENA diplomats is not only the result of 12 the reduced number of positions made available at the Quai d'Orsay to the ENA 13 since 2008 and thus of their total share among the Foreign Affairs Advisers (only 14 20 per cent in 2011). I t also comes fr om the fact that the elitism of the ENA 15 socializes young civil servants to certain 'normal' forms of competition in order to 16 secure the 'best' positions. In this regard, the novelty of nominating diplomats 17 from the group of Orient's Advisers to the French Embassy in London, Berlin, 18 Beijing or Moscow is perceived as an emblematic loss of power. Diplomats from 19 the ENA have found it difficult accepting this change, as the embassy — like the 20 prefecture at the national level — still represents public service authority, where 21 22 the senior civil servant can enjoy power as the personalized embodiment of the 23 French state.

If pillarization continues to differentiate at the *Quai d'Orsay* between the careers of diplomats who graduated from the ENA and diplomats who took the Orient examination, this pillarization, in the most ecent period, far from increasing, has tended to reduce itself. Yet the fact that ENA graduates' careers resemble more and more those of their colleagues fr om the group of Orient's Advisers is certainly perceived by former students of the ENA as a loss of privilege and status.

# 30 Conclusion

1

2

3

4 5

6

This article highlights the specificity of the recruitment of senior diplomats in France since 1970. The idiosyncratic character of the French situation resides in the lack of a single examination. The diversity of ways through which one can enter the FMFEA leads to the coexistence within the ministry of several pillars, each linked to specific procedures of recruitment.

Another characteristic in the careers of French diplomats, which is shared this time with France's working environment in general, is the importance of one's conditions of access in the future development of one's career. The way that you enter an institution and the schools that you graduated from are still decisive in France in the constitution of your professional career. In the case of the FMFEA, 1 this remark needs to be put into perspective by the fact that the internal promo-2 tion of agents from lower to higher grades is mor e frequent than the average of 3 other French ministries. As a matter of fact, if this study focuses on the means of 4 direct recruitment of senior staff through the most selective examinations (what 5 is called 'entrance through the main gate', or 'entrée par la grande porte' in French), 6 7 one-third of Foreign Affairs Advisers were actually nominated to this grade after having entered the FMFEA through a lower-rank examination. 8

This study also underlines how much the interaction between the administra-9tion and politics, notably through the *cabinets ministériels*, has an influence on the10career of particular individuals in the French diplomatic system.11

Finally, since the beginning of the twenty-first century, the recruitment of dip-12lomats or senior diplomats has occurred in a context that is marked not only by a13serious reduction in the budgetar y resources of the FMFEA, but also b y a pro-14found reconsideration of its professional practices. This article has only sketched15this last dimension, which will absolutely hav e to be examined fur ther in a sys-16tematic sociology of French diplomats' professional practices.17

In France, as in most countries, the job of Ambassador continues to take on a 18 symbolic dimension of authority, through the classical representative mission of 19 Westphalian-style diplomacy. However, Ambassadors in the twenty-first century 20 should be more concerned about the diplomacy of influence. This diplomacy of 21 influence requires taking into account new practices, such as the capacity to host 22 meetings and exchanges in an informal setting, the competence to use new infor-23 mation technologies (such as social networks and blogs, etc.),<sup>27</sup> or the ability to 24 speak at academic conferences and symposiums. Today, the diplomacy of influ-25 ence is still a field of research that, in the case of F rance, deserves to be investi-26 gated further. Indeed, such research would be instrumental in producing a larger 27 sociology on what it means to be a diplomat today at the Quai d'Orsay.<sup>28</sup> 28

Christian Lequesne has been Professor of European Studies at Sciences Po in Paris since 1988, and is the29Director of the Centre d'Etudes et de Recherches Internationales (CERI). He is a former LSE — Sciences30Po Alliance Professor at the London School of Economics and Political Science.31

Jean Heilbronn is a double Master's student in International Relations at Sciences Po in Paris, and at the32London School of Economics and Political Science.33

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27)</sup> See the conference titled 'Internet: Towards an International Cyberpolitics', organized by CERI and
 <sup>34</sup> the Department for Prospectives of the FMFEA on 21 January 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28)</sup> This is research that the authors wish to keep investigating at CERI — *Sciences Po*.

<sup>36</sup>