

Review of the EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region

Joanna Held
Permanent Representation of Poland to the EU

1st December 2011, Paris



Plan of presentation

- I. Background
- II. General progress of the EUSBSR
- III. Lessons learned
- IV. Assumptions for the review of EUSBSR
- V. Council Conclusions as recomendations for improvements
- VI. Danish PRES follow up
- VII. Macroregional strategies within Cohesion policy 2014-20 regulations

background and specificities



Factual background:

- Concept initated by EP by in 2005 (Baltic Europe Intergroup of EP)
- Actively undertaken by SE PRES in 2009 adoption
- Review initiated by PL PRES after 2 years of implementation
- Hopes for continuation of commitment by DK PRES and subsequent ones

Specificities:

- Highly innovative concept of territorial cooperation (vast geographical scale vs complex governance)
- Volountary approach = very soft regulatory framework pros and cons
- Rule of 3 'nos'
- Integrated framework to address common challenges in the macro-region and contribution to EU economic, social and territorial cohesion

Consequences:

 Must rely on coordinated approach, synergy effects and more effective use of EU and other funds.

implementation progress



Progress since autumn 2009 - governance:

- 3 meetings of High Level Group
- 2 meetings on implementation and financing (Riga, Gdańsk)
- 18 meetings of Laboratory Group for the EUSBSR
- 2 Annual Fora (Tallin, 2010; Gdańsk, October 2011)

Prospects for nearest future:

 Predicted influence of macroregional strategies for the Cohesion Policy 2013+ (transnational OPs and strategic documents) but expected also on other EU policies.

Expected outcomes:

- Strengthening of coordination of EU and national policies having territorial impact
- Higher effectiveness of European Territorial Cooperation.





lessons learned

Experiences of the so far process – stakeholders point of view:

- strategy as a new possibility for international cooperation within clearly identified territory,
- chance for mutual learning, rising the development level of macroregion and diminishing discrepancies between regions of a common territory,
- lack of distinctive leadership,
- week strategic approach in the document,
- involvement of stakeholders based on a voluntary basis,
- need for strengthening communication between key actors and to outside audience.

Views for macroregional concept:

- vivid debates from the MSs' side on new macroregional strategies, (eg.
 Mediterranean Sea; Adriatic Sea&Ionian Islands; Alpine Region; Atlantic Space),
- EC and Council position on need for verification of results of existing strategies.



lessons learned

Challenges identified by main stakeholders:

- Acquiring partners in the region,
- Limitations in **financing** the projects (increasing knowledge of PACs on sources, better system of information for future programming period),
- Coordination within EC and providing links to other EU policies,
- Information policy towards society, institutions (in particular regional and local).
- Unifying criteria of FPs (clear and formal setting of criteria for approving new FPs).
- Sense of ownership.

1111-eu

lessons learned

Achievements identified by main stakeholders:

- Involvement of all MSs of macroregion towards common activities within certain areas,
- Provision of synergy effects within thematic areas,
- New forms of cooperation on various platforms by institutions in BSR,
- Working out very effective and informal ways of cooperation between
 co-coordinators of certain Priority Areas,
- Obtaining funds by various FPs mostly from ETC programmes (14).

MTPL2011.eu

lessons learned

New projects identified:

- Baltic Deal works with farmers across BSR to reduce nutrient run-off and eutrophication
- Clean Ship significantly reduces pollution from vessels
- "LNG Feasibility study" develops sustainable Short Sea Shipping
- BaltAdapt prepares a regional climate change adaptation plan
- BSR Stars increases innovation capacity through its support for innovation, clusters and SME-Networks
- ScanBalt Health Port promotes the Baltic as a globally leading and prosperous "Health Region"
- Baltic Transport Outlook provides structured planning support for transport infrastructure in the Region

1111 PL2011.eu

lessons learned

New momentum to existing projects:

- Baltic Energy Market Interconnection Plan greater integration of the three
 Baltic Sea States into the European energy market
- "Sludge-trucks"/ "Oily water carriages" better technologies developed by the Baltic Master II project which involves 15 ports in the Region
- Sustainable agriculture Baltic Compass; Baltic Manure; Baltic Deal
- Transport projects TransBaltic; East West Transport Corridor II; Scandria

11111 PL2011.eu

lessons learned

New and developing networks EUSBSR provides:

- New framework for the maritime community: HELCOM; CBSS; BSSSC
- Better links for cooperation on sustainability issues with CBSS Experts Group
 Baltic 21
- Networks' opportunities for Nordic Council of Ministers
- New approach to foster the cooperation in the sectors of cross-border crime and transport
- New links for Northern Dimension framework (NDPHS)
- Better development of BONUS Programme (budget of EUR 100 million)



lessons learned

Alignement of funding sources – so far efforts:

- European Regional Development Fund (ERDF) new selection criteria (SE); calls targeted to promote the Strategy (BSR Programme);
- European Fisheries Fund (EFF) MSs 2010 annual implementation reports include a specific chapter on contribution to the implementation of the EUSBSR
- European Social Fund (ESF) priority of transnational activities in calls for project proposals (SE, DK, EE)
- European Parliament EUR 2.5. million for TA
- EIB/NIB loans for flagship projects

Factual results:

- Most of projects obtaining funds from ETC OPs (mostly BSR OP)
- Within mainstream OPs (national and regional level) only passive earmarking
- Misunderstandings between MAs and Strategy implementing stakeholders so far



assumptions for the review

Basis for the review - community settings:

- "...Commission to present a progress report to the Council by June 2011" -European Council Conclusions, 29/30 October 2009
- "...political discussions foreseen under the Polish Presidency of the European Council in the second half of 2011 will constitute an opportunity for a more fundamental review of the Strategy" – indicated in the EC Interim Report on the EUSBSR (December 2010)

Focus of the review:

 EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region as such - as more comprehensive works on the macroregional concept and evaluation of added value envisaged for 2013 (Council Conclusions for the EU Strategy for Danube Region - GAC, April 2011)



assumptions for the review

Preparatory phase of the review:

- Consultations at national ground
- Informal working consultations with countries (May/June):
 - Member States of macroregion
 - other interested MSs
 - third countries involved in EUSBSR implementation
- Consulting regional level (June/July):
 - Committee of the Regions via Interregional Group "Baltic Sea Regions"
 - EU regional offices via Informal Baltic Sea Regions Group
- European Parliament co-operation hearings:
 - Baltic Europe Intergroup (May), Environment Committee (June), Bugdet Committee (July)
- Consulting the INTERACT Laboratory Group on the EUSBSR



assumptions for the review

Key thematic issues initially identified by MSs:

- strengthening coordination between sectoral EU and domestic policies with territorial impact relevant for the Strategy for greater synergies,
- clarifying and strengthening roles of key stakeholders,
- underlining EC role in strategic coordination and as a guard of the added value,
- more transparent monitoring system and introducing targets,
- better alignment of funding and improving information channels on existing sources of finances,
- improving communication system and visibility,
- strengthening the ownership at all levels and political support,
- greater synergies between the EUSBSR and other cooperation platforms active in the region,
- exchange links between EUSBSR and other macroregional strategies (EU Strategy for the Danube Region).



assumptions for the review

<u>Key messages from EC Report on EUSBSR implementation – 22 June 2011:</u>

- Importance of maintaining political momentum
- Need for a greater commitment
- Development of targets and evaluation process
- Improvement of communication
- Significance of cross-sectoral cooperation
- Challenge of further alignment of funding and technical assistance

Key messages from EC Report + from international consultations
- basis for drafting
Council Conclusions on EUSBSR review



process of the review

Formula of the review – phase of political dicussions:

- Friends of Presidency (FoP) working group in the Council re-activated in July
- Aim: agreement on the Council Conclusions relevant review elements
- Works conducted mid July mid November 2011
- Adopted at GAC 15 November
- Strong support from MSs of macroregion, mostly: SE, EE, DE, FI
- Wide interest of remaining MSs, mostly: IT, FR, BG, RO
- **Result:** well balanced text, quite detailed, practical and tangible recomendations, firm ground for concrete improvements.



Council Conclusions

Key elements:

- enhancing existing political support for the Strategy at all levels,
- including the EUSBSR on Council agendas (relevant sectoral formations),
- strengthening coordination between policies with a territorial impact and thematically relevant to the EUSBSR,
- facilitating the alignment of funding in the 2014-2020 programming period,
- strengthening the roles of key stakeholders (TF, guide fiches, handbook),
- strengthening monitoring by introducing targets and indicators (TF),
- improving communication systems, promotion and visibility of the Strategy,
- integrating **multilateral structures** in the macro-region, strengthening cooperation with third countries and providing links with the EU Strategy for the Danube Region and possible future macro-regional strategies.

Most controversial issues: alignement of funding, targets.





phase after PL PRES – formal process

<u>Involvement of Danmark as a MS of macroregion, taking over the Presidency</u> (provision of follow up and successful implementation of the review):

- Finalisation of targets and indicators at Strategy level
- EC Communication submission March/April (positioning EUSBSR within wider context of EU 2020 and within EU policies 2014-2020, review recomendations),
- Council Conclusions submitted and negotiated by DK PRES adoption at May 2012 GAC,
- Finalisation of targets and indicators at Priority Area level,
- Review and update of Action Plan (verification of projects, introducing indicators, etc.)



1111 PL2011.eu

looking into future

EC legislative package Cohesion Policy 2014-2020:

- embeds the macroregional strategies for the first time
- manner seems to be insuficient
- provisions on the level of CSF, PC, OPs but additional specific indications only towards the ETC goal
- option rather than obligation
- no specific hints on how to embed the strategy in OPs in practice
- creates a chance for change but no guarantee.

Horizon for new macroregional strategies:

- EC less willing to serve as a coordinator more responsibility towards MSs
- Most advanced concept Adriatic Sea&Yonnian Islands but political situation influences
- More firm position of EC expected towards end 2013 evaluation of added value



phase after PL PRES – practical ground

Questions we are concerned at the moment - governance:

- What is most important function of EUSBSR? Balance between implementing infrastructural projects and systemic/regulatory changes in the BSR?
- How to ensure durability of Flagship Projects? (tend to have dispersed effects)
- How to ensure synergy effect between Flagship Projects results and EU policies in 2014+?
- Embedding EUSBSR in 2014+ Multiannual Financial Framework interministerial dialogue in MSs
- Which instruments can be most effective in implementing EUSBSR?
- How to make EU policies (other than cohesion policy) involved?
- How to improve wider involvement (regional, local authorities, NGOs, private sector)?
- How to ensure stable and effective communication channels?
- Which EUSBSR experiences could be useful for other macro-regions?



phase after PL PRES – practical ground



Questions we are concerned at the moment – specific sectors (innovation example):

- How can EUSBSR increase level of BSR competitiveness faced with new global challenges?
- Does BSR need a common innovation strategy? Would the Strategy overcome "the innovation gap" in the BSR?
- What new elements were added by EUSBSR to so-far standards of international/trans-regional cooperation in the area of science/innovation, r&d?
- How to ensure the involvement of entrepreneurship sector in implementing the EUSBSR, especially in the scope of innovation potential development?

Thank you for your attention!



Joanna Held Permanent Representation of Poland to the EU

Joanna.Held@msz.gov.pl